// HALBORN

Beanstalk - Basin Integration Upgrade

Smart Contract Security Assessment

Prepared by: Halborn Date of Engagement: May 4th, 2023 - June 8th, 2023 Visit: Halborn.com

DOCI	JMENT REVISION HISTORY	3
CON	TACTS	4
1	EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW	5
1.1	INTRODUCTION	6
1.2	ASSESSMENT SUMMARY	6
1.3	SCOPE	7
1.4	TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY	8
2	RISK METHODOLOGY	10
2.1	EXPLOITABILITY	11
2.2	IMPACT	12
2.3	SEVERITY COEFFICIENT	14
3	ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW	16
4	FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS	17
4.1	(HAL-01) ENCODE TYPE NOT ENFORCED WHILE WHITELISTING A TOK INFORMATIONAL(1.9)	EN - 19
	Description	19
	Code Location	19
	Proof of Concept	21
	BVSS	21
	Recommendation	21
	Remediation Plan	22
4.2	(HAL-02) UNNECESARY ELSE STATEMENT AND DELTAB VARIABLE INIT IZATION - INFORMATIONAL(1.9)	IAL- 23
	Description	23
	Code Location	23

	BVSS	23
	Recommendation	24
	Remediation Plan	24
4.3	<pre>(HAL-03) GAS INEFFICIENCY: > 0 IN A UINT256 INSTEAD OF != INFORMATIONAL(1.9)</pre>	0 - 25
	Description	25
	Code Location	25
	Proof of Concept	25
	BVSS	26
	Recommendation	26
	Remediation Plan	27
5	MANUAL TESTING	28
	ENCODE TYPE (test_CORE_Whitelist)	29
	WELL BDV (test_CORE_WellBdv)	29
	CONVERTS (test_CORE_Convert)	29
	TWA DELTA B (test_CORETwaDBOracle)	30
	ENROOT DEPOSIT (test_CORE_Enroot)	30
	CONSTANT PRODUCT FUNCTIONS (test_WELL)	30
6	AUTOMATED TESTING	31
6.1	STATIC ANALYSIS REPORT	33
	Description	33
	Slither Results	33
	MythX Results	36

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

VERSION	MODIFICATION	DATE	AUTHOR
0.1	Document Creation	06/02/2023	Miguel Jalon
0.2	Document Edits	06/08/2023	Miguel Jalon
0.3	Draft Review	06/09/2023	Francisco González
0.4	Draft Review	06/09/2023	Grzegorz Trawinski
0.5	Draft Review	06/09/2023	Piotr Cielas
0.6	Draft Review	06/14/2023	Gabi Urrutia
1.0	Remediation Plan	07/17/2023	Roberto Reigada
1.1	Remediation Plan Review	07/18/2023	Gabi Urrutia

D

CONTACT	COMPANY	EMAIL
Rob Behnke	Halborn	Rob.Behnke@halborn.com
Steven Walbroehl	Halborn	Steven.Walbroehl@halborn.com
Gabi Urrutia	Halborn	Gabi.Urrutia@halborn.com
Miguel Jalon	Halborn	Miguel.Jalon@halborn.com
Francisco González	Halborn	Francisco.Villarejo@halborn.com
Grzegorz Trawinski	Halborn	Grzegorz.Trawinski@halborn.com
Piotr Cielas	Halborn	Piotr.Cielas@halborn.com

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

1.1 INTRODUCTION

Beanstalk is a stablecoin protocol where BEAN is the main asset around which the whole Beanstalk farm works. The users interact with the farm by using the Beanstalk services, allowing BEAN to periodically cross the peg.

The Beanstalk Basin Integration aims to integrate the different Wells deployed in the Basin to allow Stalkholders (DAO members) to interact with the Wells and swap (or convert) assets that have been deposited without having to make a withdrawal and thus losing all of the grown and accumulated stalk.

Beanstalk engaged Halborn to conduct a security assessment on their smart contracts beginning on May 4th, 2023 and ending on June 8th, 2023. The security assessment was scoped to the smart contracts provided to the Halborn team.

1.2 ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

The team at Halborn was provided five weeks for the engagement and assigned a full-time security engineer to assessment the security of the smart contract. The security engineer is a blockchain and smart-contract security expert with advanced penetration testing, smart-contract hacking, and deep knowledge of multiple blockchain protocols.

The purpose of this assessment is to:

- Ensure that smart contract functions operate as intended.
- Identify potential security issues with the smart contracts.

In summary, Halborn identified some code inefficiencies that were addressed/acknowledged by the Beanstalk team.

1.3 SCOPE

- 1. Beanstalk Protocol:
- Commit ID:

b28a58d134fb9a53e1a30e9df695ffbd28ecaf2f

- Smart Contracts in scope:
 - 1. C.sol
 - 2. AppStorage.sol
 - 3. InitWhitelist.sol
 - 4. BDVFacet.sol
 - 5. ConvertFacet.sol
 - 6. EnrootFacet.sol
 - 7. SiloFacet.sol
 - 8. WhitelistFacet.sol
 - 9. Oracle.sol
 - 10. SeasonFacet.sol
 - 11. LibConvert.sol
 - 12. LibConvertData.sol
 - 13. LibUnripeConvert.sol
 - 14. LibWellConvert.sol
 - 15. LibMinting.sol
 - 16. LibWellMinting.sol
 - 17. LibTokenSilo.sol
 - 18. LibWhitelist.sol
 - 19. LibWell.sol
 - 20. LibWellBdv.sol

- 2. Basin (Wells):
- Commit ID: 0949cd7d9658a0525c526b9c771a442c65ee204a
- Smart Contracts in scope:
 - 1. Well.sol
 - 2. ConstantProduct.sol
 - 3. ConstantProduct2.sol
 - 4. LibMath.sol
 - 5. GeoEmaAndCumSumSmaPump.sol

Fixed Commit ID: 78d7045a4e6900dfbdc5f1119b202b4f30ff6ab8

1.4 TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY

Halborn performed a combination of manual and automated security testing to balance efficiency, timeliness, practicality, and accuracy in regard to the scope of this assessment. While manual testing is recommended to uncover flaws in logic, process, and implementation; automated testing techniques help enhance coverage of the contracts' solidity code and can quickly identify items that do not follow security best practices. The following phases and associated tools were used throughout the term of the assessment:

- Smart contract manual code review and walkthrough.
- Manual assessment of use and safety for the critical Solidity variables and functions in scope to identify any arithmetic related vulnerability classes.
- Manual testing with custom scripts. (Foundry).
- Static Analysis of security for scoped contract, and imported functions manually.
- Scanning of solidity files for vulnerabilities, security hotspots or bugs. (MythX)
- Static Analysis of security for scoped contract, and imported functions. (Slither)

• Testnet deployment (Anvil).

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

2. RISK METHODOLOGY

Every vulnerability and issue observed by Halborn is ranked based on **two sets** of **Metrics** and a **Severity Coefficient**. This system is inspired by the industry standard Common Vulnerability Scoring System.

The two **Metric sets** are: **Exploitability** and **Impact**. **Exploitability** captures the ease and technical means by which vulnerabilities can be exploited and **Impact** describes the consequences of a successful exploit.

The **Severity Coefficients** is designed to further refine the accuracy of the ranking with two factors: **Reversibility** and **Scope**. These capture the impact of the vulnerability on the environment as well as the number of users and smart contracts affected.

The final score is a value between 0-10 rounded up to 1 decimal place and 10 corresponding to the highest security risk. This provides an objective and accurate rating of the severity of security vulnerabilities in smart contracts.

The system is designed to assist in identifying and prioritizing vulnerabilities based on their level of risk to address the most critical issues in a timely manner.

2.1 EXPLOITABILITY

Attack Origin (AO):

Captures whether the attack requires compromising a specific account.

Attack Cost (AC):

Captures the cost of exploiting the vulnerability incurred by the attacker relative to sending a single transaction on the relevant blockchain. Includes but is not limited to financial and computational cost.

Attack Complexity (AX):

Describes the conditions beyond the attacker's control that must exist in order to exploit the vulnerability. Includes but is not limited to macro situation, available third-party liquidity and regulatory challenges.

Metrics:

Exploitability Metric (m_E)	Metric Value	Numerical Value
Attack Origin (AO)	Arbitrary (AO:A)	1
ALLACK OFIGIN (AU)	Specific (AO:S)	0.2
	Low (AC:L)	1
Attack Cost (AC)	Medium (AC:M)	0.67
	High (AC:H)	0.33
	Low (AX:L)	1
Attack Complexity (AX)	Medium (AX:M)	0.67
	High (AX:H)	0.33

Exploitability E is calculated using the following formula:

$$E = \prod m_{e}$$

2.2 IMPACT

Confidentiality (C):

Measures the impact to the confidentiality of the information resources managed by the contract due to a successfully exploited vulnerability. Confidentiality refers to limiting access to authorized users only.

Integrity (I):

Measures the impact to integrity of a successfully exploited vulnerability. Integrity refers to the trustworthiness and veracity of data stored and/or processed on-chain. Integrity impact directly affecting Deposit or Yield records is excluded.

Availability (A):

Measures the impact to the availability of the impacted component resulting from a successfully exploited vulnerability. This metric refers to smart contract features and functionality, not state. Availability impact directly affecting Deposit or Yield is excluded.

Deposit (D):

Measures the impact to the deposits made to the contract by either users or owners.

Yield (Y):

Measures the impact to the yield generated by the contract for either users or owners.

Metrics:

Impact Metric (m_I)	Metric Value	Numerical Value
	None (I:N)	0
	Low (I:L)	0.25
Confidentiality (C)	Medium (I:M)	0.5
	High (I:H)	0.75
	Critical (I:C)	1
	None (I:N)	0
	Low (I:L)	0.25
Integrity (I)	Medium (I:M)	0.5
	High (I:H)	0.75
	Critical (I:C)	1
	None (A:N)	0
	Low (A:L)	0.25
Availability (A)	Medium (A:M)	0.5
	High (A:H)	0.75
	Critical	1
	None (D:N)	0
	Low (D:L)	0.25
Deposit (D)	Medium (D:M)	0.5
	High (D:H)	0.75
	Critical (D:C)	1
	None (Y:N)	0
	Low (Y:L)	0.25
Yield (Y)	Medium: (Y:M)	0.5
	High: (Y:H)	0.75
	Critical (Y:H)	1

Impact I is calculated using the following formula:

$$I = max(m_I) + \frac{\sum m_I - max(m_I)}{4}$$

2.3 SEVERITY COEFFICIENT

Reversibility (R):

Describes the share of the exploited vulnerability effects that can be reversed. For upgradeable contracts, assume the contract private key is available.

Scope (S):

Captures whether a vulnerability in one vulnerable contract impacts resources in other contracts.

Coefficient (<i>C</i>)	Coefficient Value	Numerical Value
	None (R:N)	1
Reversibility (r)	Partial (R:P)	0.5
	Full (R:F)	0.25
Scopo (a)	Changed (S:C)	1.25
Scope (S)	Unchanged (S:U)	1

Severity Coefficient ${\it C}$ is obtained by the following product:

The Vulnerability Severity Score S is obtained by:

$$S = min(10, EIC * 10)$$

The score is rounded up to 1 decimal places.

Severity	Score Value Range
Critical	9 - 10
High	7 - 8.9
Medium	4.5 - 6.9
Low	2 - 4.4
Informational	0 - 1.9

3. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW

CRITICAL	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	INFORMATIONAL
0	0	0	0	3

SECURITY ANALYSIS	RISK LEVEL	REMEDIATION DATE
(HAL-01) ENCODE TYPE NOT ENFORCED WHILE WHITELISTING A TOKEN	Informational (1.9)	ACKNOWLEDGED
(HAL-02) UNNECESARY ELSE STATEMENT AND DELTAB VARIABLE INITIALIZATION	Informational (1.9)	SOLVED - 07/17/2023
(HAL-03) GAS INEFFICIENCY: > 0 IN A UINT256 INSTEAD OF != 0	Informational (1.9)	ACKNOWLEDGED

FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS

4.1 (HAL-01) ENCODE TYPE NOT ENFORCED WHILE WHITELISTING A TOKEN - INFORMATIONAL (1.9)

Description:

When whitelisting a token with the whitelistTokenWithEncodeType() function, the encodeType passed by parameter is not checked if it is 0x00 or 0x01. Then, if the beanDenominatedValue() function is called (which is called every time the bdv has to be calculated, and it is required for most of the use cases of a token), the tx reverts if the token has an invalid encodeType. The inconvenience is that the token cannot be used in the protocol with this incorrectly set parameter.

Code Location:

Listing	1:	WhitelistFacet.sol
96		<pre>function whitelistTokenWithEncodeType(</pre>
97		address token,
98		bytes4 selector,
99		uint32 stalkIssuedPerBdv,
100		uint32 stalkEarnedPerSeason,
101		bytes1 encodeType
102) e	xternal payable {
103		LibDiamond.enforceIsOwnerOrContract();
104		LibWhitelist.whitelistToken(
105		token,
106		selector,
107		stalkIssuedPerBdv,
108		stalkEarnedPerSeason,
109		encodeType
110);
111	}	

```
Listing 2: LibTokenSilo.sol (Line 295)
```

```
function beanDenominatedValue(address token, uint256 amount)
          internal
          view
          returns (uint256 bdv)
          AppStorage storage s = LibAppStorage.diamondStorage();
          require(s.ss[token].selector != bytes4(0), "Silo: Token

    hot whitelisted");

          bytes memory callData;
          if (s.ss[token].encodeType == 0x00) {
              callData = abi.encodeWithSelector(
                   s.ss[token].selector,
              );
          } else if (s.ss[token].encodeType == 0x01) {
              callData = abi.encodeWithSelector(
                   s.ss[token].selector,
              );
          } else {
              revert("Silo: Invalid encodeType");
```

Proof of Concept:

- 1. Beanstalk whitelists a well LP token into the protocol
- 2. A user adds liquidity to the well
- 3. The user tries to deposit into the Silo the LP tokens previously received
- 4. The transaction reverts with Silo: Invalid encodeType

```
Listing 3: HalbornBeanstalkTest.t.sol (Line 8)

1 function test_vuln_CORE_Whitelist_006() public {
2 vm.prank(owner);
3 whitelistFacet.whitelistTokenWithEncodeType(wellAdd,
4 bytes4(keccak256("wellBdv(address,uint256)")), uint32(10000),
4 uint32(1), 0x02);
4
5 xAddLiqSimple(alice, bean.balanceOf(alice), weth.balanceOf
4 (alice));
6 vm.startPrank(alice);
7 well.approve(address(siloFacet), well.balanceOf(alice));
8 siloFacet.deposit(wellAdd, well.balanceOf(alice),
4 LibTransfer.From.EXTERNAL);
9 }
```

Running 1 test for test/foundry/HalbornBeanstalkTest.t.sol:HalbornBeanstalkTest [FAIL. Reason: Silo: Invalid encodeType] test_vuln_CORE_Whitelist_006() (gas: 417632) Logs: TX: ADDING LIQUIDITY... 0x61A1D7fD8C9bbd82932D99DFD47bD2581C23b08c

Test result: FAILED. 0 passed; 1 failed; finished in 636.99ms

BVSS:

AO:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:H/D:N/Y:N/R:F/S:U (1.9)

Recommendation:

Add a require assertion enforcing the encodeType to be 0x00 or 0x01.

Remediation Plan:

ACKNOWLEDGED: The Beanstalk team acknowledged this finding.

4.2 (HAL-02) UNNECESARY ELSE STATEMENT AND DELTAB VARIABLE INITIALIZATION - INFORMATIONAL (1.9)

Description:

In the EVM, all variables are initially zeros. The LibWellMinting.check () function does not need to initialize the deltaB variable in the else condition as it is already defined in the return variables of the function, and is already set to zero.

Code Location:

```
Listing 4: LibWellMinting.sol (Line 72)

fi function check(
    address well

    j internal view returns (int256 deltaB) {
        bytes memory lastSnapshot = LibAppStorage
        .diamondStorage()
        .wellOracleSnapshots[well];

        // If the length of the stored Snapshot for a given Well

        is 0,

        // then the Oracle is not initialized.
        if (lastSnapshot.length > 0) {
            (deltaB, ) = twaDeltaB(well, lastSnapshot);
        } else {
            deltaB = 0;
        }

        deltaB = LibMinting.checkForMaxDeltaB(deltaB);
    }
```

BVSS:

AO:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:H/D:N/Y:N/R:F/S:U (1.9)

Recommendation:

Consider removing the else condition from the LibWellMinting.check() function:

```
Listing 5: LibWellMinting.sol

1 function check(
2 address well
3 ) internal view returns (int256 deltaB) {
4 bytes memory lastSnapshot = LibAppStorage
5 .diamondStorage()
6 .wellOracleSnapshots[well];
7 // If the length of the stored Snapshot for a given Well
4 is 0,
58 // then the Oracle is not initialized.
59 if (lastSnapshot.length > 0) {
60 (deltaB, ) = twaDeltaB(well, lastSnapshot);
61 }
62
63 deltaB = LibMinting.checkForMaxDeltaB(deltaB);
64 }
```

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The Beanstalk team solved this issue.

Commit ID : 78d7045a4e6900dfbdc5f1119b202b4f30ff6ab8.

4.3 (HAL-03) GAS INEFFICIENCY: > 0 IN A UINT256 INSTEAD OF != 0 -INFORMATIONAL (1.9)

Description:

In the ConvertFacet contract, the _depositTokensForConvert() function uses > 0 to compare if it's different from 0 instead of using != 0 which is more gas efficient when used with unsigned integer data types.

Code Location:

```
Listing 6: ConvertFacet.sol (Line 202)

196 function _depositTokensForConvert(
197 address token,
198 uint256 amount,
199 uint256 bdv,
200 uint256 grownStalk // stalk grown previously by this
L, deposit
201 ) internal returns (int96 stem) {
202 require(bdv > 0 && amount > 0, "Convert: BDV or amount is
L, 0.");
```

Proof of Concept:

```
Listing 7: HalbornPoC.sol (Lines 9,14)
4 contract HalbornPoC {
5
6     uint256 x;
7     function printGreater() public {
8         x = 1;
9         if (x > 0) {}
10     }
11
12     function printDifferent() public {
```

```
13 x = 1;
14 if (x != 0) {}
15 }
16 }
```

• Using printGreater()

gas	49795 gas 🗘
transaction cost	43300 gas 🗘
execution cost	22236 gas 🗘

Using printDifferent()

gas	26936 gas	Ø
transaction cost	23422 gas	Ø
execution cost	2358 gas	¢

BVSS:

AO:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:H/D:N/Y:N/R:F/S:U (1.9)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to use != 0 instead of > 0 to compare uint variables.

Lis	ting 8: ConvertFacet.sol (Line 202)
196	<pre>function _depositTokensForConvert(</pre>
197	address token,
198	uint256 amount,
199	uint256 bdv,
200	uint256 grownStalk // stalk grown previously by this
Ļ	deposit
201) internal returns (int96 stem) {
202	require(bdv != 0 && amount != 0, "Convert: BDV or amount
Ļ	is 0.");

Remediation Plan:

ACKNOWLEDGED: The Beanstalk team acknowledged the issue.

MANUAL TESTING

For the integration of the Wells in the Beanstalk protocol, the next steps had to be performed:

- 1. Fork the mainnet running it locally by using Anvil
- 2. Deploy a Well with a deployment script using the actual GeoEmaAndCumSmaPump pump contract in the forked environment
- 3. Update the facets by:
 - a) Removing with Diamond Cut all the external functions -or the external functions whose internal functions or libraries- have changed or have been removed from the mainnet in the new commits
 - b) Adding with Diamond Cut all the new external functions -or the external functions whose internal functions or libraries- has changed or has been added from the mainnet in the new commits
- 4. Use Foundry for the Unit and Integration testing connected to the Anvil with the mainnet state and a Well deployed

The assessment mainly focused on the following points:

ENCODE TYPE (test_CORE_Whitelist):

 Making sure the upgrades of the Silo Whitelist are correct, focusing on the whitelist() function the encodeType parameter that allows 0x01 type for the wellBdv function.

WELL BDV (test_CORE_WellBdv):

• Tracking that the wellBdv() function is correctly implemented when a Well LP token has been previously whitelisted into Beanstalk.

CONVERTS (test_CORE_Convert):

 Checking that the convertLPToBeans() and convertBeansToLP() functions work as intended in the LibWellConvert library also taking into account the new two convert types BEANS_TO_WELL_LP, WELL_LP_TO_BEANS

TWA DELTA B (test_CORETwaDBOracle):

• Checking the Oracle.sol functionality to get the correct TWA Delta B in any well containing the BEAN token. Furthermore, checking stepOracle() and totalDeltaB() functions in the Oracle contract.

ENROOT DEPOSIT (test_CORE_Enroot):

• Checking the enrootDeposit() functions are correctly migrated to the new facet EnrootFacet.sol.

CONSTANT PRODUCT FUNCTIONS (test_WELL):

• Checking that the new changes made within ConstantProduct.sol and ConstantProduct2.sol WellFunction contracts are correctly implemented.

Running	58 tests for test/foundry/HalbornBeanstalkTest.t.sol:HalbornBeanstalkTest
[PASS]	test_CORE_Convert_000() (gas: 243212)
[PASS]	test_CORE_Convert_001() (gas: 673215)
[PASS]	test_CORE_Convert_010() (gas: 467974)
[PASS]	test_CORE_Convert_011() (gas: 428172)
[PASS]	test_CORE_Convert_012() (gas: 428718)
FPASS	test_CORE_Convert_013() (gas: 468211)
[PASS]	test_CORE_Convert_015() (aas: 888854)
[PASS]	test CORE Convert 016() (gas: 616537)
FPASST	test CORE Convert 020() (gas: 343921)
[PASS]	test CORE Convert 021() (gas: 431159)
[PASS]	test CORF Convert 022() (gas: 947468)
LEDASS1	test CORF Encost 400() (gas: 760142)
LEDASS1	test (ORE Encost 001() (gas: 10005)
LEWSS	test (ORE TwoRPhoele 000() (gus. 110535)
LEWSS	test (OPE TwoDDonaele 001() (gus. +1/042)
	test_CORE_IMADBORACLE_001() (gas. ++31+6)
[PASS]	test_CURE_IWaDBUFacLe_002() (gas: 4303)/
[PASS]	test_CURE_IWaDBUFGCLE_005() (gas: 431/53)
[PASS]	test_CORE_IWaDBOraCle_004() (gas: 445002)
[PASS]	test_CORE_IwaDBOracle_010() (gas: 525185)
[PASS]	test_CORE_IwaDBOracle_011() (gas: 483359)
[PASS]	test_CORE_TwaDB0racle_012() (gas: 550061)
[PASS]	test_CORE_TwaDBOracle_300() (gas: 803155)
[PASS]	test_CORE_WellBdv_001() (gas: 493716)
[PASS]	test_CORE_WellBdv_002() (gas: 971975)
[PASS]	test_CORE_WellBdv_012() (gas: 757456)
[PASS]	test_CORE_WellBdv_013() (gas: 747444)
[PASS]	test_CORE_WellBdv_014() (gas: 590910)
[PASS]	test_CORE_WellBdv_020() (gas: 500080)
[PASS]	test_CORE_WellBdv_127() (gas: 383140)
[PASS]	test_CORE_WellBdv_128() (gas: 606815)
[PASS]	test_CORE_Whitelist_001() (gas: 48719)
[PASS]	test_CORE_Whitelist_002() (gas: 55279)
[PASS]	test_CORE_Whitelist_003() (gas: 55256)
[PASS]	test_CORE_Whitelist_004() (gas: 123198)
[PASS]	test_CORE_Whitelist_005() (gas: 31705)
[PASS]	test_CORE_Whitelist_007() (gas: 827238)
[PASS]	test_CORE_Whitelist_008() (gas: 123263)
[PASS]	test_CORE_Whitelist_010() (gas: 123241)
[PASS]	test_CORE_Whitelist_011() (gas: 303599)
[PASS]	test_CORE_Whitelist_020() (gas: 292181)
[PASS]	test_CORE_Whitelist_021() (gas: 466374)
[PASS]	test_WELL_000() (gas: 224536)
[PASS]	test_WELL_001() (gas: 383247)
[PASS]	test_WELL_002() (gas: 383233)
[PASS]	test_WELL_003() (gas: 372350)
[PASS]	test_WELL_004() (gas: 446547)
[PASS]	test_WELL_005() (gas: 542940)
[PASS]	test_WELL_009() (gas: 345376)
[PASS]	test_WELL_010() (gas: 345419)
[PASS]	test_WELL_011() (gas: 345417)
[PASS]	test_WELL_100() (gas: 383224)
[PASS]	test_WELL_101() (gas: 224537)
[PASS]	test_WELL_102() (gas: 383235)
[PASS]	test_WELL_111(uint256) (runs: 256, μ: 4466669, ~: 4466669)
[PASS]	test_WELL_112(uint256) (runs: 256, μ: 648949, ~: 648970)
[PASS]	test_WELL_113(uint256) (runs: 256, μ: 817440, ~: 817455)
[PASS]	test_X_deposit() (gas: 200940)
[FAIL.	Reason: Silo: Invalid encodeType] test_hal01_CORE_Whitelist_006() (gas: 417612)
Test re	esult: FATLED, 57 passed: 1 failed: finished in 790 59ms

AUTOMATED TESTING

6.1 STATIC ANALYSIS REPORT

Description:

Halborn used automated testing techniques to enhance the coverage of certain areas of the scoped contracts. Among the tools used was Slither, a Solidity static analysis framework. After Halborn verified all the contracts in the repository and was able to compile them correctly into their ABI and binary formats, Slither was run on the all-scoped contracts. This tool can statically verify mathematical relationships between Solidity variables to detect invalid or inconsistent usage of the contracts' ABIs across the entire code-base.

Slither Results:

<pre>BDVFacet.sol Liboure.set(sint26s,uint26s(1).uint26s,uint26s) (contracts/libraries/Curve/LibCurve.sol#49-41) performs a multiplication on the result of a division:</pre>
Libbure.getD(uint262(2).uint26).i (contracts/libraries/Curve/Libbure.sol#91) is a local variable newer initialized Libbure.getD(uint262(2).uint265).i_scope_C (contracts/libraries/Curve/Libbure.sol#98) is a local variable newer initialized Libbure.getV(uint26s,uint262(2).uint26s).ii(contracts/libraries/Curve/Libbure.sol#98) is a local variable newer initialized Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#uninitialized-local-variables
LibAppEterage.dismondStorage() (contracts/librariss/libAppEterage.ol245-19) uses assembly - JNLINE ASM (contracts/librariss/libAppEterage.sol246-18) Reference: https://github.com/crtic/lither/wii/Detector-Documentation#assembly-usage
<pre>Different versions of Solidity are used:</pre>
Reference: https://gituub.com/sfyll/sills/is.ki/vetercod-ucumentationmaifFent-progna-difective-are-used C.Um/VSH-UBAG() (contracts/C.sol#177-118) is nown und asd should be removed C.base() features(C.sol#177-118) is nown und asd should be removed
C-beam () (contracts/c.sol42x7-2x9) is mayer used and should be removed C-beamAddress() (contracts/c.sol42x3-180) is mayer used and should be removed C-curveRespondExection (Contracts/c.sol42x3-180) is mayer used and should be removed C-fortilizedAddress() contracts/c.sol42x3-180)

ConvertFacet.sol

libUnrin

- nertFact.enrootDepositiaddres.inred().uint boty = mountil) mol (teal.enrol).sites to boty boty = mountil) mol (teal.enrol).sites to boty boty = mountil) mol (teal.enrol).sites to boty = mountil (teal.enrol) (teal.enrol) (teal.enrol) boty = mountil (teal.enrol) (teal.enrol) (teal.enrol) (teal.enrol) (teal.enrol) = mountil (teal.enrol) (teal.enrol) (teal.enrol) = mountil (teal.enrol) (teal.enrol) (teal.enrol) (teal.enrol) = mountil (teal.enrol) (teal.enrol a multiplication on the result of a division bdv))) (contracts/beanstalk/silo/ConvertFacet.sol#206-214)
 a multiplication on the result of a division:
- bCurve.sol#70) performs a multiplication on the result of a division:
- ts/libraries/Curve/L) * D) / (((Ann A_ ptracts/libraries/Cu Impli)
 * D) / A_PRECISION + (N_COINS + 1) * D_P) (contracts/libraries/Curve/LibCurve.sol#104
 ve.sol#114-145) performs a multiplication on the result of a division:
 - -) #250) 5-263) performs a multiplication on the result of a division:
 - -) #251) 5-263) performs a multiplication on the result of a division
 -) #252) 5-263) performs a multiplication on the result of a division:
 -) #254) 5-263) performs a multiplication on the result of a division:
- ator / two nator * in 256,uint25) #255) 5-263) performs a multiplication on the result of a division: 1Div(uint256,uint256,uint256 0 = prod0 / twos (contracts) 11 = prod0 * inverse (contra
- mul(4)) (contracts/libraries/Silo/LibUnripeSilo.sol#231-235) tiply
- reternal calls: Feternal calls: State variables written after the call(s): daite variables written after the call(s): daite s.aiccount).sop.plenty (contracts/beanstalk/silo/SiloFacet/Silo.sol#IBS) e: https://github.com/cytioSiliter/wiki/Detector-Document ation#reentiancy-wiherabilities-1
- lo.sol#371) is a local variable never initialized
 - 7) is a local
 is a local e/LibCurv 1) is a l
 - b)____scope__ tected test[)_uint32[][]_uint256[] uint256[2],uint256]._i (contracts/librari 6)._i (contracts/libraries/Curve/LibCurve ess,bytes4[]).selectorIndex (contracts/256[]). 2) is a 1 (LibSile
 - ntladdress.advsectorindex (con filaddress.int96[],uint2 hss,int96[],uint256[]).i (contra vss,bytes4[]).selectorIndex (con nt256[2],uint256)._i_scope_0 (c ess,int96[],uint256[],uint254] ytes4[]).est acet.sol#195) is a lo .sol#147) is a local bCurve.sol#138) is a
- initäisee ver initialized sal variable never initialized le never initialized ever initialized able never initialized al variable never initialized al variable never initialized
 - 29) is a lo
 - dd ciable never initialized .sol#367) is a local variable never initialized ateData (c) is a loc s/librari
 - e(address,add))._j (contract t.FacetCut[].a cal variable never initialized iable never initialized
- . Hol.sol#306) is written in both bUnripeSilo.sol#312) d(legBdv) (contracts/libraries/Silo/LibUnripeSilo.sol#329-331)

WhitelistFacet.sol

- ms a multiplication on the result of a -263) performs a multiplication on the result of a divisio invetst henominator = denominator = inve idenominator = 2 - denominator = invest inverse == 2 - denominator = inve huminator = denominator = inve denominator = invest investor = denominator = investor investor = denominator investor = rms a multiplication on the result of a .) ##251) B5-263) performs a multiplication on the result of a division -denominator = denomin -inverse *= 2 - denomi th.mulDiv(uint256 wire of ion, series 1#252) 85-263) performs a multiplication on the result of a division h.mulbiv(uint256,uint256,uint25 denominator / two inverse *= 2 - denominator / two inverse *= 2 - denominator * i - nulbiv(uint256,uint266,uint22 denominator = denominator * i - mulbiv(uint256,uint266,uint26 denominator = denominator / two inverse *= 2 - denominator / two inverse *= 2 - denominator / two inverse *= 2 - denominator / two 95-263 performs -1) 1#253) 85-263) performs a multiplication on the result of a division: 85-263) performs a multiplication on the result of a division: performs a multiplication on the result of a division: 1) 1#255) 85-263) performs a multiplication on the result of a divisio sul(4)) (contracts/libraries/Silo/LibUnripeSilo.sol#231-235)
- 1#32-35) o/WhitelistFacet.sol#37-50) lk/silo/WhitelistFacet.sol#52-61)

- acts/libraries/LibDismond.sol#129) is a local variable never initialized cetIndex (contracts/libraries/LibDismond.sol#184) is a local variable never initialized tracts/libraries/Libbiamod.sol#1842) is a local variable never initialized i (contracts/libraries/Silo/LibegesyTokenSilo.sol#857) is a local variable never initi Intracts/Libraries/Libbiamod.sol#147) is a local variable never initialized never initialized
 - 200 ol#371) is a local variable never initialized never initialized 1#367) is a local variable never initialized
- peSilo.sol#306) is written in both (LibUnripeSilo.sol#312) .add(legBdv) (contracts/libraries/Silo/LibUnripeSilo.sol#329-331)
- dMigrate(address.address[].ui
- zy in LibTōkenSilo,deposit(address,address,int96,uint256) (contracts/libraries/Silo/LibTōkenSilo.sol#108-116): ≿tternal calls:
- bd = bandbaronnatistrauet (there means inclusion) (contracts/libraries/Silo/Libra

- ATTEIDETTEIDETTEIDETTEIDETTEIDETTEIDETTEIS/LibApStorage.sol#15-19) uses assembly LibApstorage.sol#16/ste.uni2560 (contracts/librariss/LibApStorage.sol#16-18) LibBytes.toinEdbytes.uni2560 (contracts/Librariss/LibBytes.sol#38-28) uses assembly TNLTNE ASM (contracts/librariss/LibBytes.sol#39-644) uses assembly INLTNE ASM (contracts/librariss/LibBytes.sol#39-641)

SiloFacet.sol



• As a result of the tests carried out with the Slither tool, some results were obtained and reviewed by Halborn. Based on the results reviewed, the majority of vulnerabilities were determined to be false positives.

MythX Results:

Report for contracts/benstalk/silo/SiloFacet/SiloFact.sol https://dashboard.ayth.io/#/console/analyses/360830e9-5513-430b-b786-d3f44374ed71 https://dashboard.ayth.io/#/console/analyses/3f667826-5611-460b-b282-a4680b0deff0 https://dashboard.ayth.io/#/console/analyses/3f67b0b=52f2-42f2-4584b0454054054 https://dashboard.aythx.io/#/console/analyses/f9b84bb-af59-448c-92ec-512ea3822cd1								
Line	SWC Title				Severity	Short Description		
171	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of R	andomness fr	om Chair	Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randonmness.		
Report for https://da	r contracts/beanstalk/silo/Si ashboard.mythx.io/#/console/a	loFacet/Silo nalyses/4698	71					
Line	SWC Title Severity Short Descri			Short Descr	iption			
5	(SWC-103) Floating Pragma	Low		A floating	pragma is se	et.		
28	(SWC-123) Requirement Viola	tion Low		Requirement	violation.			
Aport for contracts/libraris/Silo/iBSilo-sol http://dshboard.wyth.io//console/analyses/1908&bb-af59-448c-92ec-512ea3822cdt http://dshboard.wyth.io//console/analyses/282782-bbef-446c-92ec-42ec48babf9 http://dshboard.wyth.io//console/analyses/282782-bbef-446c-92ba42c471 http://dshboard.wyth.io//console/analyses/4059ab6-bbf-4455-4154-43ba4bbd506 http://dshboard.wyth.io//console/analyses/4059ab6-bbf-4456-4154-4157-43ba4bbd506 http://dshboard.wyth.io//console/analyses/4059ab6-bbf-4456-455-4154-458ba4bd506 http://dshboard.wyth.io//console/analyses/4059ab6-bbf-4456-4556-4456-458ba44bd506								
Line	SWC Title				Severity	Short Description		
237	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of R	andomness fr	om Chair	Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randommness.		
612	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes			n Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randonmness.		
Report for https://da	r contracts/libraries/Silo/Li ashboard.mythx.io/#/console/a	bTokenSilo.s nalyses/4698	ol 34e9-513	31-41db-bf06	-d3f44374ed7	21		
Line	SWC Title	Sever	Severity Short Descri					
286	(SWC-123) Requirement Viola	tion Low		Requirement	violation.			
Apport for contracts/libraries/LibInternal.sol https://dashboatd.mythx.io/#/console/amalyses/dd57b6bb=5b2f=4254-aig7=48b84bB3d184 https://dashboatd.mythx.io/#/console/amalyses/3f657a62-6511-4d6b=723=e468Bb6defe6 https://dashboatd.mythx.io#/console/amalyses/1968bbb=159-4660-726=512a832Cdd1								
Line	SWC Title	Title Severity Sh			rt Descripti	ion		
20	(SWC-109) Uninitialized Storage Pointer Medium			um Dan	gerous use c	of uninitialized storage variables.		
Report for contracts/beanstalk/silo/WhitelistFacet.sol https://dashboard.mythx.io/#/consola/amalyses/3227063-0bsf-44c9-9b8d-20c948ababf9								
Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short	Description				
5	(SWC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.					

• No major issues found by the MythX tool.



THANK YOU FOR CHOOSING